# IOU Energy Efficiency Programs Collaborative

Christine Herbert October 3, 2018 Energy Efficiency Implementation Project Meeting



## SPEER

**Our purpose** is to advance the understanding and adoption of energy efficiency as a low-cost energy resource, and to design, implement, coordinate, and support regional projects to promote high energy performance and clean distributed energy in the built environment.



#### Winter Weather Impacts on Load by Customer Type





#### **Summer Weather Impacts on Load by Customer Type**



ercot

# Benefit - Built Environment For Customers

- Comfortable
- Affordable
- Durable
- Controllable/manageable
- Clean energy zero emissions



### Benefit - Energy Market

Reduce Peak Demand

6

- Grid reliability to support growing population
- Lower Peak Demand affects customer pricing individually and collectively
- Reduce infrastructure cost T&D
- Lowest Cost Cleanest Resource



## Programs Incent

- Low energy cost good time to invest
- Low energy cost longer ROI for customer
- Programs provide information to support good behavior/purchasing choices
- Incentives support local businesses and reach the smaller customers
- Provides low income opportunities



#### Achievements

Average of \$120 million spent per year by all IOU's in Texas

- Saving the equivalent of around \$400 million each year in future energy and capacity costs
- 400 MW of peak demand savings per year
- 500,000 MWh of total energy savings per year (0.24% of sales)

With the states new building codes/standards – focus on existing buildings.

EPRI study comparison with other States/Utilities?



States Targeting 100% of 2018 Economic Potential Through Utility Programs

- Arizona
- Connecticut
- Hawaii
- Illinois
- Iowa
- Maine
- Massachusetts

- Michigan
- Minnesota
- Ohio
- Oregon
- Rhode Island
- Vermont
- Washington



#### Bottom 10 Performing States Estimated Percent of Economic Potential Captured

State	Percent
Texas	22%
Wyoming	20%
North Dakota	19%
Florida	17%
Delaware	16%
Kansas	11%
Alabama	10%
Virginia	81/0
Louisiana	8%
Alaska	4%



## Texas IOU Energy Efficiency Collaborative Participating Organizations

- Air Conditioning Contractors of America
- Alliance for Retail Markets
- CenterPoint Energy
- CLEAResult
- Direct Energy
- EnerChoice
- Energy Foundation
- Environmental Defense Fund
- Frontier Energy
- Good Company Associates
- Houston Advanced Research Center

- Lime Energy
- Oncor
- Public Citizen
- Sierra Club
- Tetra Tech
- Texas Advanced Energy Business
   Alliance
- Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel
- TexEnergy



### Discussion to Identify What is Possible

- Stand-alone energy goal separate from the demand goal
  - No changes to the demand goal
- Modify energy goal to be a percent of annual sales
  - Average savings across IOUs is currently 0.24%
  - Suggest reasonably achievable energy goal of 0.5% of annual energy sales



#### Cumulative MWh Savings Scenarios, using a 2017 baseline Texas Residential and Commercial Markets



#### Cumulative Percent of Sales Scenarios, using a 2017 baseline Texas Residential and Commercial Markets



### Current Avoided Costs

Are there other elements that need to be included in Avoided Cost?

• Avoided Cost of Capacity = 80/kW in 2018

Based overnight cost of a new conventional or an advanced combustion turbine

• Avoided Cost of Energy = \$0.03757/kWh in 2018

Based on the load-weighted average price of energy for summer and winter in all four load zones in the state.



#### Demand savings compared to spending, by utility





### Collaborative Discussion

What would it take to increase the energy goal?
Administrative changes
Program changes
Increased Participation – REPs and ESCOs
Cost/Cost-effectiveness changes
Can it be done through Rule?



# Percent difference a 0.5% energy goal would be from energy savings achieved in 2017, by utility





# Timing

	Current	Discussed
EEIP	Annual	3 per year
Plans	Annual	3-year – allow period of "open
		enrollment" for new programs
Goal	Annual	Aligned with Plan
Avoided Cost	Annual - 60	Rolling 5-year avg established
	days ahead	year ahead
<b>Cost-effectiveness</b>	1-year	3-year to allow for ramp up of
		new programs



Costs and Cost Caps

How much EE costs as percent of bill?

The average Texas resident:

\$0.10 per kilowatt-hour average cost

1,171 kWh per month average consumption

\$128.50 average monthly electric bill

or \$1,542 annually.

EE cost is about 1% at cap. IOUs are currently spending less than the cap. (Oklahoma Utilities get lost contribution to fixed costs and incentive so, cost cap is equal to \$2.40 per customer per month.)



#### Other Considerations

- Would current bonus be aligned with new goals to keep the utilities whole?
- Rural adder of 15% not effective.
- Develop tiered values for peak measures?
- Could some measures be compensated for both summer and winter peak reduction?



# Added Flexibility in Programs

- Consider cost-effectiveness based on portfolio, rather than per program.
- Allow REPs to pass through incentives to increase customer retention and enable area-wide marketing.
- Hire third party to provide consumer marketing without conflict of interest?



### Achieve Increased Participation

- IOU consistent programs that allow multiservice area participation? Eliminate marketing costs
- Work with REPs to create simple, customer access to programs
- Tiered or increased incentives
- Financing programs or on-bill repayment



# Low-income and Hard-to-reach Differences

Current requirement is 10% of program spending (\$) And 5% of demand savings (kW) required.

Program Cost-effectiveness:

- LI: Federal NEAT tool threshold is based on customer cost effectiveness.
- HTR: incentives based on UCT like other programs
- Adjust income thresholds
- Address cost effectiveness limitations



### Demand Response Programs

How much DR is the market delivering?

- Summer Peak added load 37,000MW
- ERCOT Programs include 2,242 ERS and Responsive Reserves
- REPs using price responsive DR, which are contributing on 4CP days with TOU, Peak Rates, Block and Index Pricing

IOU Load Management provides incentives to achieve 282 MW - Not called for summer peak since 2011



## Research Potential

Request for Information (RFI) for new programs and technologies

- Provide aggregated data for multifamily to encourage targeted programs that address split incentive
- New programs to utilize Data Access by 3<sup>rd</sup> parties
- New programs to reach off-peak customers (schools and churches)
- REP specific program design



#### Contact

Next SPEER IOU Collaborative meeting scheduled for Oct. 18 from 1-4pm in Austin.

Chris Herbert Executive Director 512-279-0752 cherbert@eepartnership.org

Virginia Palacios State and Local Policy Manager 512-279-0753 vpalacios@eepartnership.org

